

Regulated Agent Harness Architecture

Authority, action controls and evidence for financial-services agents

Owner grants authority → agent proposes action → identity and scope → policy → validation / human decision → controlled execution → evidence

CONTROL / KLA SURFACE AND DEPLOYMENT ACCEPTANCE TEST

01 Authority	Agent Registry; governed request identity Unrecognized or out-of-scope agent cannot perform the action.
02 Tool and data scope	Tool Catalog; Data Boundaries Restricted tool, record and tenant requests are rejected.
03 Pre-action policy	Policy Builder; KLA Policy Engine Exercise allow, warn, require_approval and block on the integrated path.
04 Validation	Simulation; workflow-specific checks Invalid output and unsafe state transitions fail before release.
05 Human escalation	Decision Desk Rejection and expiry prevent the approved-action path from executing.
06 Monitoring	Assurance Center; Lineage Explorer A control failure becomes an owned finding with a response.
07 Evidence	Audit Trail; Evidence Room Reconstruct request, decision, human review and actual execution outcome.

Boundary: KLA maps to configured governed paths. Verify alternate credentials, direct calls and delegated actions. Assign network isolation and sandboxing to the host environment.

Standards preparation: Risk: prEN 18228; cybersecurity: prEN 18282; logging: prEN 18229-1. Quality-management processes sit around these controls. Topic-level KLA mapping; no conformity or certification claim.

Informed by the Bank of England's 2 September 2026 cyber-defence harness note. The seven-control grouping is KLA's interpretation. The note creates no new supervisory expectations and does not endorse KLA.